

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,988	11/21/2003	Bijan Harichian	J6810(C)	2719	
	7590 04/12/2007 FELLECTUAL PROPI		EXAM	INER	
700 SYLVAN A	VENUE,		HANDY,	NIKKI R	
BLDG C2 SOU' ENGLEWOOD	TH CLIFFS, NJ 07632-31	00	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1616		
			. <u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D.4	ve	04/12/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<del>,</del>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Commence	10/718,988	HARICHIAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nikki Handy	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the period of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  AS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		s, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, which are drawn to a cosmetic method of skin lightening comprising applying to the skin, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- II. Claims 8-17, which are drawn to a cosmetic composition and a compound of general formula I, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- III. Claim 18, which is drawn to a process for synthesizing, classified in class 424, subclass 756.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. EP0904774 discloses resorcinol derivatives as useful for treating inflammatory disorders, such as, psoriasis and acne.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,988

Art Unit: 1616

different inventions have different effects. Invention I is drawn to a cosmetic method of skin lightening comprising applying to the skin a composition of general formula I whereas Invention III is drawn to a process for synthesizing different compounds.

Hence the Inventions are unrelated.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as, sunscreens.

# **Election of Species**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a cosmetic method, a compound and a process of synthesizing. The species are independent or distinct because a composition uses a cosmetic method for skin lightening and the process of synthesizing compounds is useful for making the desired composition. The examiner is requesting the applicant to elect a specifically named or completely defined X atom (carbon, nitrogen, sulfur or oxygen) and a specifically named or completely defined N integer (N is an integer between 0 and 2).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,988

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

### **Notice of Possible Rejoinder**

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1616

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Edward Squillante on March 26, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Telephonic Inquiry**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikki Handy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nikki Handy Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

> Johann Richter, Ph. D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600